HYPERBOLIC THEORY FOR FLOW IN PERMEABLE MEDIA WITH PH-DEPENDENT ADSORPTION
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Abstract. A theory for the solution of the Riemann problem for a one-dimensional, quasi-linear, 2×2 system of conservation laws describing reactive transport in a permeable medium with pH-dependent adsorption is developed. The system is strictly hyperbolic and nongenuinely nonlinear because the adsorption isotherms are not convex functions. The solution comprises nine fundamental structures, which are a concatenation of elementary and composed waves. In the limit of low pH, the isotherms reduce to convex two-component Langmuir isotherms considered in chromatography, and the solution comprises only four fundamental structures, as in classical theory. Semianalytical solutions and highly resolved numerical simulations show good agreement in all cases.
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1. Introduction. In this paper, a theory for the solution of the Riemann problem of a 2×2 system of conservation laws describing reactive transport in a permeable medium with pH-dependent adsorption is discussed. The suitably nondimensionalized conservation laws for the total concentration of protons, \( c_{ht} \), and the concentration of a charged solute, \( c_s \), in an incompressible and isothermal fluid in local chemical equilibrium are given by

\[
\frac{a(c)}{t} + c_x = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad t > 0,
\]

where \( c = (c_{ht}, c_s) \), and the piecewise constant initial data

\[
c(x, 0) = \begin{cases} 
  c^l & \text{for } x < 0, \\
  c^r & \text{for } x > 0
\end{cases}
\]

are defined by the left and right states. The nonlinear coupling between the equations arises in the accumulation term,

\[
a(c) = c + z(c),
\]
which contains the absorbed concentrations, \( z(c) = (z_h(c), z_s(c)) \), also referred to as adsorption isotherms, given by

\[
(1.4) \quad z_h = \frac{\phi z t k_h^{1/2} \left( c_{ht} + \sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w} \right)}{1 + k_h \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{ht} + \sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w} \right) + k_s c_s},
\]

\[
(1.5) \quad z_s = \frac{\phi z t k_s c_s}{1 + k_h \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{ht} + \sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w} \right) + k_s c_s},
\]

where \( k_h \) and \( k_s \) are the equilibrium constants for the adsorption of protons and the solute, \( k_w \) is the dissociation constant of water, \( z_t \) is the total concentration of adsorption sites, and \( \phi \) is the dimensionless ratio of solid to fluid volume. Without loss of generality, throughout the paper we assume the following values: \( k_h = 10^6 \), \( k_s = 10^5 \), \( k_w = 10^{-14} \), \( z_t = 10^{-2} \), and \( \phi = 0.4 \). The derivation of the governing equations and isotherms is outlined in Appendix B. Figure 1 shows the adsorption isotherms, given by (Appendix A).

The interpretation of the eigenvalue, \( \sigma_p(c) \), is therefore the retardation of \( c \). The
retardation arises instead of the velocity, because the nonlinearity in (1.1) is in the accumulation term instead of in the flux term [29, 20]. In chromatography [23], it is common to express (2.1) in terms of the gradient of the absorbed concentrations, $\nabla z$, and its eigenvalues, $\theta_p = \sigma_p - 1$, so that

$$
(\nabla z - \theta_p I) r_p = 0.
$$

The eigenvalues of (2.1) are given by

$$
\theta_p = \frac{1}{2} \left( z_{s,s} + z_{h,ht} \pm \sqrt{\Delta} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_p = 1 + \theta_p,
$$

where $\Delta = (z_{s,s} - z_{h,ht})^2 + 4z_{h,s}z_{s,ht}$ is the discriminant and $z_{i,j}$ is the partial derivative of the isotherm $z_i$ with respect to $c_j$. The eigenvalues as a function of the normalized compositions $c_{ht}$ and $c_s$ are shown in Figure 2.
For competitive adsorption, the cross-derivatives of the isotherms, $z_{h,s}$ and $z_{s,ht}$, are generally negative [29, 23]. The sign of the cross-derivatives is clear when the concentration of protons,

$$c_h = \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{ht} + \sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w} \right) > 0,$$

is identified in the isotherms (1.4) and (1.5), and $z_h(c_h, c_s)$ and $z_s(c_h, c_s)$ are recognized as standard Langmuir isotherms, with negative cross-derivatives, $z_{h,s}$ and $z_{s,h}$ [29]. For the pH-dependent case considered here, $z_{h,ht} = z_{s,h}c_{h,ht} < 0$, because

$$c_{h,ht} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{c_h}{\sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w}} > 0.$$

Therefore, the discriminant, $\Delta$, is positive, and the two eigenvalues $\theta_p$ are real, distinct, and positive. Because the eigenvalues represent retardations, they are sorted in decreasing order, $\theta_1 > \theta_2$, to maintain standard ordering of the waves from the slowest to the fastest [20]. This implies that the $2 \times 2$ quasi-linear system (2.1) is strictly hyperbolic [19] and the isotherms are physically admissible [23]. The theory of hyperbolic systems with genuinely nonlinear fields was developed by Lax [19] and then extended by Liu [21] to systems with nongenuinely nonlinear fields. This extended theory will be used here to describe the admissible wave structure and the complete set of analytical solutions of the Riemann problem. To define the physically correct, unique, weak solution of the hyperbolic problem given by (1.1), an appropriate entropy condition must be satisfied [20].

### 3. Wave structure and hodograph plane.

The weak solution of the Riemann problem for a strictly hyperbolic $2 \times 2$ system consists of a concatenation of two waves, $W_1$ (slow wave) and $W_2$ (fast wave), connecting three constant states, $c^l$ (left state), $c^m$ (middle state), and $c^r$ (right state)

$$(3.1) \quad c^l \xrightarrow{W_1} c^m \xrightarrow{W_2} c^r.$$

The construction of the solution requires the determination of two waves and the location of the middle state in the hodograph plane, the space of dependent variables. In reactive transport problems, the dependent variables are the compositions and, therefore, the hodograph plane is referred to as composition space and the waves are said to follow composition paths [13, 26, 18, 25].

#### 3.1. Integral curves and rarefaction waves.

Rarefactions are elementary waves connecting two constant states with a smooth variation in concentration. The composition paths of $p$-rarefactions, $R_p$, are the integral curves of the $p$th eigenvector of (2.1), given by

$$(3.2) \quad c_p(c^0, \eta) = c^0 + \int_0^\eta r_p(c) \, d\eta',$$

where the eigenvectors are

$$(3.3) \quad r_p = \left( \frac{dc}{d\eta} \right)_p = \begin{pmatrix} z_{s,ht} \\ \sigma_p - 1 - z_{s,s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The two families of integral curves of (2.1) depicted in Figure 2 show two distinct patterns for negative and positive values of $c_{ht}$, which are separated by a transition.
Fig. 2. The eigenvalues, the integral curves, and the inflection loci in the hodograph plane. (a) Eigenvalue $\sigma_1$; (b) eigenvalue $\sigma_2$; (c) slow paths (gray) and inflection locus $I_1$ (black dashed line); (d) fast paths (gray) and inflection locus $I_2$ (black dash-and-dot line).

region centered near $c_{ht} = 0$. Far from the $c_s$-axis the integral curves form nets of intersecting straight lines, but in the transition region they become strongly curved and approach vertical asymptotes. The complexity of the integral curves in the transition region is a reflection of the rapid changes in the sorbed concentrations that is a characteristic of pH-dependent adsorption.

The rarefaction waves are physically admissible if the retardation, $\sigma_p$, decreases monotonically along the composition path from the left to the right. Figure 2 shows that the $\sigma_p$’s are not monotonic functions near the $c_s$-axis, which leads to the occurrence of composite waves discussed in section 3.3.

3.2. Hugoniot locus and shock waves. Shocks are elementary waves connecting two constant states, $c^-$ and $c^+$, with a discontinuous variation in composition. Mass conservation requires that the retardation of a shock, $\tilde{\sigma}_p$, must satisfy the
Rankine–Hugoniot jump condition

$$\tilde{\sigma}_p(c^-, c^+) = \left[ a(c) \right] = 1 + \left[ z(c) \right],$$

where the brackets indicate the difference between the two states across the discontinuity [29, 20]. Again, the retardation arises naturally, instead of the velocity, because the nonlinearity is in the accumulation term instead of in the flux term.

For a given state $c^-$, the jump condition defines two lines in composition space, the Hugoniot locus $\mathcal{H}(c^-)$, that give the set of all $c^+$ that can be connected to $c^-$ through a mass-conserving shock, $S_p$. The two lines comprising $\mathcal{H}(c^-)$ are tangent to the integral curves at $c^-$, but they deviate significantly from the integral curves in the transition region near the $c_s$-axis, as shown in Figures 3a and 3b. This is important for the construction of the solution, if $c^-$ and $c^+$ lie on opposite sides of the $c_s$-axis and their integral curves do not intersect.

A $p$-shock is physically admissible if it satisfies the Lax entropy condition [19]

$$\sigma_p(c^-) > \tilde{\sigma}_p(c^-, c^+) > \sigma_p(c^+),$$

which ensures that the shock is self-sharpening and therefore stable. Figure 3 shows the portions of the $\mathcal{H}(c^-)$ that satisfies (3.5) as solid lines. Due to the nonmonotonic variation of $\sigma_p$, a single branch of $\mathcal{H}(c^-)$ can satisfy (3.5) only along segments, which leads to the occurrence of composite waves discussed in section 3.3.

Figure 3c shows that $\mathcal{H}(c^-)$ can have three branches, two attached to $c^-$ and a detached branch. The presence of the detached branch is an interesting feature of systems with pH-dependent adsorption, which is essential for the construction of the solution in some cases where $c^-$ and $c^+$ lie on opposite sides of the transition region near the $c_s$-axis. Detached branches have only been reported for relatively few physical systems modeled by hyperbolic conservation laws [17, 4, 9, 15], and have not been previously reported for reactive transport. Figure 3d shows the area on the hodograph plane, where detached branches of $\mathcal{H}(c^-)$ that satisfy the entropy condition exist. The extent of this area will vary with $k_s$ and $k_h$, but its existence for negative values of $c_{ht}$ is a general feature of reactive transport with pH-dependent adsorption. Thus, this type of behavior constitutes a nontrivial generalization of classical theories of transport with competitive adsorption.

3.3. Inflection locus and shock-rarefaction waves. In systems with pH-dependent adsorption both characteristic fields are nongenuinely nonlinear, because the $\sigma_p$'s are not monotonic functions of composition, as shown in Figure 2. Therefore, each $p$-wave may consist of a combination of rarefactions and shocks [21].

The inflection locus $I_p$ for the $p$th characteristic field gives the locations where $\sigma_p$ attains a maximum value when moving along integral curves of the $p$-family and it is defined as

$$\nabla \sigma_p \cdot r_p = 0.$$

The inflection loci for both characteristic fields are single connected curves indicated with black dash-and-dot lines on the hodograph plane shown in parts (c) and (d) of Figure 2.

A composed $p$-wave arises when it joins two states on opposite sides of the corresponding inflection locus, $I_p$. The order of the shock and the rarefaction in the composed wave is determined by the nature of the local extremum of $\sigma_p$ [1]. The
inflection loci shown in Figure 2 correspond to local maxima of $\sigma_p$, which correspond to minima in the velocity, so that with the rarefaction the inflection loci is always slower than the shock. The shock-rarefaction of the $p$th family connecting two constant states, $\mathcal{SR}_p$, is a curve consisting of a $p$-shock emanating from $c^-$, connected at an intermediate point $c^*$ to a $p$-rarefaction, which ends at $c^+$. Any discontinuity $S_p$ connecting the states $c^-$ and $c^*$ must satisfy the Liu entropy condition [21] given by

$$\sigma_p(c^-) \geq \sigma_p(c^-, c^*) > \sigma_p(c^+).$$


The nine fundamental structures of the solutions of the Riemann problem are illustrated in this section in the same fashion as in [16]. The solutions are a concatenation of the entropy-satisfying waves of rarefaction, shock, and shock-rarefaction as listed in Table 1. The nine fundamental entropy-satisfying weak solutions of the Riemann problem are shown together with the numerical simulations for a representative set of
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>( W_1 )</th>
<th>( W_2 )</th>
<th>Illustration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( S_1 )</td>
<td>( S_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 4(a–c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( R_1 )</td>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 4(d–f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( R_1 )</td>
<td>( S_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 4(g–i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( S_1 )</td>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 5(a–c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( S R_1 )</td>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 5(d–f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( S R_1 )</td>
<td>( S_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 5(g–i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( S_1 )</td>
<td>( S R_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 6(a–c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>( R_1 )</td>
<td>( S R_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 6(d–f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>( S R_1 )</td>
<td>( S R_2 )</td>
<td>Figure 6(g–h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

left and right states in Figures 4 through 6. They are illustrated as composition paths on the hodograph plane and as concentration profiles as a function of the similarity variable \( \eta \).

The numerical solutions were calculated using a finite volume scheme for the discretization of the nonlinear system given in (1.1). The accumulation term was not expanded, but differentiated directly to treat the nonlinearity implicitly, and the advection term was integrated explicitly and approximated with an upwind flux [27]. All numerical solutions presented in this paper use a dimensionless domain of length 0.2 divided into 3000 uniform grid cells. The Courant number for the initial time step was unity. To ensure convergence of the Newton iteration, the time step was reduced adaptively.

5. Discussion.

5.1. Comparison with the theory of chromatography. For positive values of \( c_{ht} \), i.e., pH lower than 7, the difference between \( c_{ht} \) and \( c_h \) is negligible and the conservation laws given in (1.1) simplify to

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (c_h + z_h) + \frac{\partial c_h}{\partial x} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (c_s + z_s) + \frac{\partial c_s}{\partial x} = 0,
\]

and the adsorption isotherms reduce to two-component Langmuir adsorption isotherms,

\[
z_h = \frac{\phi c_h k_h z_t}{1 + c_h k_h + c_s k_s},
\]

\[
z_s = \frac{\phi c_s k_s z_t}{1 + c_h k_h + c_s k_s},
\]

used in the theory of chromatography [11, 32, 13, 29, 18, 23]. The two-component Langmuir isotherms are concave functions and systems (5.1)–(5.4) are genuinely nonlinear, so that the fundamental structures of the analytical solutions of the Riemann problem are four concatenations of elementary waves corresponding to the first four types given in Table 1. The nongenuine nonlinearity of pH-dependent adsorption, therefore, introduces two inflection loci and adds five additional solution structures involving composed waves.
Fig. 4. Fundamental structures of the solutions 1–3 as in Table 1.
Fig. 5. Fundamental structures of the solutions 4–6 as in Table 1.
Fig. 6. Fundamental structures of the solutions 7–9 as in Table 1.
Figure 7 shows that the integral curves for pH-dependent adsorption coincide with the integral curves from the theory of chromatography for $c_{ht} > 0$ [29]. Here, the integral curves have been shown to be straight lines that are tangent to a parabolic envelope curve in the fourth quadrant of the hodograph plane. Therefore, system (5.1)–(5.4) are of Temple-class [30] and the Hugoniot loci are identical to the corresponding integral curves. In pH-dependent adsorption, this simplification is not generally valid, and the Hugoniot loci can deviate significantly from the integral curves and even have detached branches as illustrated in section 3.2.

5.2. The effect of pH on the solution structure. To illustrate the strong effect of pH variations on the structure of the concentration fronts, we consider a sequence of solutions with a fixed right state, $c_r^*$, at pH=5.0 and a sequence of left states, $c_l^*$, with fixed $c_{ht}^*$ but decreasing $c_{ht}^*$, corresponding to a pH increasing from 4.9 to 9.6. The gradual change of the structure of the analytical solution of the Riemann problem is shown in Figure 8. As the pH of the left state increases, the fundamental structure of the solution changes as follows:

1. $c_l^* \xrightarrow{R_1} c_m^* \xrightarrow{S_2} c_r^*$,
2. $c_l^* \xrightarrow{SR_1} c_m^* \xrightarrow{S_2} c_r^*$,
3. $c_l^* \xrightarrow{SR_1} c_m^* \xrightarrow{R_2} c_r^*$,
4. $c_l^* \xrightarrow{S_1} c_m^* \xrightarrow{SR_2} c_r^*$.

Figures 8b and 8c show the smooth variation of the analytical solution with the change in the left state. This illustrates the continuous dependence of the solution on the initial condition (1.2). In particular, it shows that the occurrence of shocks to the detached branch of the Hugoniot locus, in structures 2 and 3, does not cause an abrupt change in the shape of the concentration profiles. This provides evidence for the uniqueness of the solution and indicates the robustness of the mathematical model for pH-dependent adsorption. In practice, this is of importance for applications such as laboratory experiments that aim at reproducing the Riemann problem to test the reactive transport model.
5.3. Dispersion-induced waves in pH-dependent reactive transport. For some sets of $c_l$ and $c_r$, the combined effect of hydrodynamic dispersion, which adds a diffusive flux, and pH-dependent adsorption leads to the formation of an additional pulse traveling without retardation. This dispersion-induced wave is not present in the analytical solution in the hyperbolic limit \cite{31, 5, 27, 28}. The appearance of this wave in pH-dependent reactive transport is interesting because hydrodynamic dispersion generally only smooths the concentration profiles. This behavior has recently been analyzed under the simplifying assumption of solution charge balance \cite{27} and it is also present in numerical solutions of the more complete model of pH-dependent sorption discussed here.

The dispersion-induced wave arises when $c_l$ and $c_r$ are on opposite sides of the transition zone and $c_{ht}^l < c_{ht}^r$, so that the pH decreases strongly from $c_l$ to $c_r$. Figure 9 shows the analytical and the numerical solutions for a case with the fundamental structure

\begin{align}
\begin{aligned}
c_l & \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow c_m \rightarrow SR_2 \rightarrow c_r,
\end{aligned}
\end{align}

where $c_s^l = 0$. The numerical solution, which includes a diffusive flux, shows an additional wave at the leading edge of $SR_2$ at $\eta = 1$. Previous simulations of dispersion-induced waves have used a different geochemical model, but Figure 9 shows that this phenomenon also occurs in this formulation. A detailed discussion of this dispersion-induced phenomenon, however, is beyond the scope of this work, which is focused on the hyperbolic structure.

6. Conclusions. In this paper, a theory for the solution of the Riemann problem for a one-dimensional, quasi-linear, $2 \times 2$ system of conservation laws describing reactive transport in a permeable medium with pH-dependent adsorption is developed. The conservation equation for protons contains a term accounting for the dissociation of water which introduces additional nonlinearity in the accumulation term. The system is strictly hyperbolic and nongenuinely nonlinear, because the pH-dependent adsorption isotherms are not convex functions. This leads to nine fundamental
solution structures, which are a concatenation of elementary and composed waves. Reactive transport with pH-dependent adsorption can, therefore, develop more complex reaction fronts than competitive adsorption of solutes with negligible complexation reactions. When both left and right states are at low pH (less than 7), however, the abundance of protons reduces the influence of the dissociation of water and the isotherms reduce to convex two-component Langmuir isotherms considered in chromatography. The classic solutions of chromatography are, therefore, a subset of the solutions discussed here. Numerical simulations show excellent agreement with the analytic solutions in the hyperbolic limit, but in certain cases the interaction of hydrodynamic dispersion and pH-dependent adsorption can lead to an additional wave traveling without retardation, as previously reported.

Appendix A. Total proton concentration. In an aqueous system, the three chemical species H$_2$O, H$^+$, and OH$^-$ are linearly dependent and only two can be chosen as a basis to span the compositional space. In aqueous chemistry [24], H$_2$O and H$^+$ are usually chosen as basis and OH$^-$ = H$_2$O - H$^+$. Under the assumption of local chemical equilibrium, only the conservation equations for the basis species have to be considered. In addition, the concentration of water is assumed to be constant because the aqueous solution is assumed to be dilute, so that only the conservation equation for protons is required,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (c_{ht} + z_h) + \frac{\partial c_{ht}}{\partial x} = 0,
\]

where $z_h$ is the adsorbed proton concentration derived in Appendix B and the total concentration of the H$^+$ basis species in the aqueous phase is given by

\[
c_{ht} = c_{H^+} - c_{OH^-},
\]

where the minus sign arises because the OH$^-$ species is generated by subtracting the H$^+$ basis species from the H$_2$O basis species.
Appendix B. Geochemical model. We considered an aqueous system containing protons (H\(^{+}\)) and a general solute (S\(^{n+}\)) of positive charge, which can be adsorbed onto a reactive surface as

\[
\begin{align*}
X^{-1/2} + H^{+} & \leftrightarrow XH^{1/2}, \\
X^{-1/2} + S^{n+} & \leftrightarrow XS^{n-1/2},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(X^{-1/2}\) corresponds to a reactive surface site \([14]\). Neglecting the electrostatic term of the effective equilibrium constant that accounts for the development of the surface charge upon adsorption, the effective equilibrium constants equal the intrinsic equilibrium constants (kg mol\(^{-1}\))

\[
\begin{align*}
k_h &= \frac{\{XH^{1/2}\}}{c_h X^{-1/2}} = 10^8, \\
k_s &= \frac{\{XS^{n-1/2}\}}{c_s X^{-1/2}} = 10^5,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(c_i\) corresponds to the concentrations of the subscripted species (mol kg\(^{-1}\)) and the equilibrium constants resemble those appropriate for the adsorption of strontium on iron oxide at 25°C in \([34]\). The surface site balance is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
z_t &= \{X^{-1/2}\} + \{XH^{1/2}\} + \{XS^{n-1/2}\},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(z_t\) is the total concentration of the reactive surface sites (mol kg\(^{-1}\)).

Combining (B.3), (B.4), and (B.5), the adsorbed concentration (mol kg\(^{-1}\)) of protons, \(z_h\), and of the solute, \(z_s\), on the reactive surface are

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi z_h &= \frac{z_t k_h c_h}{1 + k_h c_h + k_s c_s}, \\
\phi z_s &= \frac{z_t k_s c_s}{1 + k_h c_h + k_s c_s}.
\end{align*}
\]

These functions resemble the two-component Langmuir isotherm employed in previous chromatographic studies \([29, 26, 7, 33, 8, 2, 3, 23]\). In pH-dependent sorption the isotherms have to be expressed in terms of the total concentration of protons in the fluid, \(c_{ht}\), introduced in Appendix A, instead of the proton concentration, \(c_h\).

Combining (A.2) with the law of mass action for the dissociation of water

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O} & \leftrightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+, \\
k_w &= c_{oh} c_h = 10^{-14},
\end{align*}
\]

we derive the expression

\[
\begin{align*}
c_h &= \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{ht} + \sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

which can be substituted into (B.6) and (B.7), to obtain the pH-dependent adsorption isotherms

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi z_h &= \frac{\phi z_t k_h \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{ht} + \sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w} \right)}{1 + k_h \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{ht} + \sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w} \right) + k_s c_s}, \\
\phi z_s &= \frac{\phi z_t k_s c_s}{1 + k_h \frac{1}{2} \left( c_{ht} + \sqrt{c_{ht}^2 + 4k_w} \right) + k_s c_s}.
\end{align*}
\]
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